

Establish what you know and what you need to do:

K	W	H
What do you know ?	What do you want to know?	How will you find out?
<p>Michel Foucault's theory of power/knowledge (the relationship between power and knowledge)</p> <p>The context of Foucault's thought</p>	<p>Strengths and weaknesses of Foucault's theory</p> <p>Uses that people have made of Foucault's theory</p> <p>Alternative theories/theorists of power</p>	<p>I will review my course's reading list, and the further reading list for this particular week's lecture, to find recommended sources to read.</p> <p>I will look at the bibliographies of relevant sources to find even more sources to read.</p> <p>I will use key terms and Boolean operators in Manchester University's library search and google scholar to find relevant further reading.</p> <p>If I am very stuck. I will contact my tutorial leader for advice.</p>

Identify what you have learnt and further actions:

L	A	Q
What have you learnt ?	What action will you take to apply what you have learnt?	What further questions do you have?
<p>Strength: Foucault’s critical theory has the power to question conventional wisdom and norms. Through Foucauldian discourse analysis, power structures could be interrogated, and their bases exposed, aiding the fight against inequality.</p> <p>Weakness: Foucault critiques power but doesn’t offer us solutions or how to fight it</p> <p>Alternative theorists: Some argue that Habermas’ ideas of ‘communicative rationality’ and ‘discourse ethics’ provide a better critique of the nature of power in society.</p>	<p>I will use the key terms and names of theorists that I have learned to search for the most relevant secondary sources for use in my essay.</p> <p>I will write my evaluative essay, using the works of alternative theorists to highlight the strengths and weaknesses of Foucault’s theorising on power.</p>	<p>How will I know that I’ve covered the main critiques in my essay?</p> <p>How will I know that I’ve presented a balanced and nuanced argument?</p>